

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/839,152	04/23/2001	Eung-Sun Chun	P56369	1600 .
7590 10/05/2004			EXAMINER	
Robert E. Bushnell Suite 300			MCLEAN MAYO, KIMBERLY N	
1522 K Street, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20005-1202			2187	

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/839,152	CHUN, EUNG-	CHUN, EUNG-SUN			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kimberly N. McLe	an-Mayo 2187				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communior Reply	nication appears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence	address			
THE - Extrafte - If th - If N - Fail	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN ensions of time may be available under the provisional er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comin he period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) to period for reply is specified above, the maximum is lure to reply within the set or extended period for reply to reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howev munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory minin tatutory period will apply and will expire Si y will, by statute, cause the application to I	er, may a reply be timely filed  num of thirty (30) days will be considered ti X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	imely. is communication.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 23 Anril 2001					
		2b)⊠ This action is non-final					
3)		•		the merits is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merit closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	tion of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-67 is/are pending in the	application.					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a		ion.				
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-25 and 35-63</u> is/are allow						
	Claim(s) 26-29,34,64,66 and 67 is/a						
7)🖂							
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election requirem	ent.				
Applicat	tion Papers						
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.					
	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 April 2004</u> is/are: a)  accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any obje						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to			•			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority  Certified copies of the priority	documents have been receiv	ed.				
	3. Copies of the certified copies	of the priority documents hav	e been received in this Nationa	al Stage			
* 5	application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action	,	,,				
	and allegated dotailed entire action	The a list of the certified copi	es not received.				
Attachmen	t(s)						
I) 🔯 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ In	erview Summary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948) Pa	per No(s)/Mail Date	TO 450)			
inform [] (5) Pape	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08) 5)	otice of Informal Patent Application (Pinher:	10-152)			

Art Unit: 2187

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The enclosed detailed action is in response to the Application submitted on April 23, 2001.

### **Priority**

2. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in the Korean Industrial Property Office on December 1, 2000. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

### **Drawings**

3. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.121(d)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the examiner does not accept the changes, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Specification

4. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Art Unit: 2187

### Claim Objections

Claim 18 is objected to because of the following informalities:Claim 18, line 1 "he apparatus of claim 1" should state "The apparatus of claim 1". Appropriate

correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 26-29, 34, 64 and 66-67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chisholm (USPN: 6,697,970).

Regarding claims 26, 29 and 64, Chisholm discloses a management information system outputting alarm information corresponding to an alarm event (Figure 2; C 5, L 61-67); an alarm management host computer managing the alarm information received from the management information system (Figure 3, Reference 28 – NMS); a plurality of alarm managers (Figure 3, References 14) being connected to the alarm management host computer, the plurality of alarm managers reading the alarm information when the alarm information is not cleared (reading via the NE-MIBs; Figure 5C), the plurality of alarm managers including a first alarm manager (Figure 3, Reference 14A); the alarm management host computer having a first data table for storing the alarm information when the alarm information is not cleared (Figure 3, Reference 22,

Art Unit: 2187

EMS MIB), a second data table for storing the alarm information when the alarm information is cleared (Figure 3, Reference 28, NMS IS), the alarm management host computer storing the alarm information in the first data table when the alarm information is not cleared, the alarm management host computer removing the alarm information from the first data table and storing the alarm information in the second data table when the alarm information is cleared (C 6, L 54-67; C 7, L 17-45; the alarm clear notification is a part of the alarm information stored in the first data table/EMS MIB, [refer to Figure 5B, Reference 78; C 6, L 17-19, C 6, L 54-65] this information is removed from EMS MIB and sent to NMS IS to indicate to NMS to update its table), the alarm information being cleared when the alarm event ends (C 6, L 59-60).

Regarding claim 27, Chisholm discloses the alarm information being not cleared when the alarm event has not ended and the alarm information being cleared when the alarm event ends (C 5, L 15-20; C 6, L 66-67; C 7, L 1-45).

Regarding claim 28, Chisholm discloses the management information system outputting an alarm clear signal corresponding to the end of the alarm event (C 6, L 4; C 6, L 59-60).

Regarding claim 34, Chisholm discloses the EMS and NMS controlling the NE and thus it is evident that the controllers relay information [relating to its operations such as alarm management, thereby alarm information] to the NEs (C 5, L 42-43; C 6, L 31-35).

Art Unit: 2187

Regarding claim 66, Chisholm discloses creating a plurality of listener data tables (Figure 5C; Reference 52A-52C; C 6, L 42-46), each one of the listener data tables corresponding to one respective alarm manager (NE in Figure 3) selected from among a plurality of alarm managers (Figure 3, References 14), each one having a unique name (NE identifier; Figure 5B; Reference 75; C 6, L 14-15); detecting whether the alarm managers are operating normally (C 6, L 54-58; operating normally is operating in an active alarm state); registering (Figure 5B, Reference 75; the NE identifier is stored in the table) in a broadcasting list data table (Figure 5B, Reference 22) the unique name identifying the listener tables corresponding to the alarm managers that are detected to be operating normally (C 6, L 1-3); when an alarm event is generated in the network, storing first alarm information in the listener data tables corresponding to the names registered in the broadcasting list data table and reading the first alarm information from the listener data

Regarding claim 67, Chisholm discloses periodically detecting whether the alarm managers are operating normally (via notifications) and removing from the broadcasting table (EMS-MIS table, Figure 3, Reference 22 and Figure 5B) the names of listener data tables corresponding to the alarm managers that are detected to be not operating normally (operating not normally is operating in a non-active alarm state) (C 7, L 17-38).

tables by the corresponding alarm managers (C 6, L 66-67; C 7, L 1-10).

### Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 1-25 and 35-63 are allowed.

Art Unit: 2187

9. Claims 30-33 and 65 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Kang – PGPUB: US 2002/0069199 – managing alarm information in a network.

Sundaram – USPN: 6,564,341 – alarm network management.

Scrandis – USPN: 6,414,595 - alarm network management

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimberly N. McLean-Mayo whose telephone number is 703-308-9592. The examiner can normally be reached on M (10:00 - 6:30); Tues, Thr (10:00 - 4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald Sparks can be reached on 703-308-1756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2187

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KIMBERLY MCLEAN-MAYO

Kimberly N. McLean-Mayo

Examiner

Art Unit 2187

KNM

September 29, 2004